

ASRC BOD Meeting – 10/11/14
Unfinished Business - E

TOPIC: Group Vote Requirements - SM IV

DETAILS: asrc_bod email thread (below)

From: asrc-bod@yahoogroups.com [<mailto:asrc-bod@yahoogroups.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, June 03, 2014 9:55 AM
To: asrc BOD
Cc: Chris Ruch
Subject: Re: [asrc-bod] Vote for SM-IV?

Let's reopen this discussion at the next BoD meeting. Again, it doesn't require urgent addressing.

On Mon, Jun 2, 2014 at 7:47 PM, Christopher Ruch ruch.chris@gmail.com [asrc-bod] <asrc-bod@yahoogroups.com> wrote:

Digging back further into the historical record, to back before my time as CTO, the old IS checkoff sheets which were used from the the mid-2000's until the v7.1 training standards went into effect also did not include the group vote either, while the IC checklists did. It appears that this lack of a group vote was intentional, reflected in multiple documents/forms, and multiple people would have received the IS/SM-IV cert over the last 10 years without requiring the team vote.

So I'm confident this was not a mistake or oversight. Which is not to say that we shouldn't change the requirement. I don't have any objection to adding the group vote (I don't get a vote, and quite honestly I that it was required at all levels), just providing the historical background.

Chris

On Mon, Jun 2, 2014 at 7:22 PM, Kenneth Chiacchia chiacchiakb@gmail.com [asrc-bod] <asrc-bod@yahoogroups.com> wrote:

My question, then, is whether the lack of a group vote in the 6.x standards wasn't a mistake/omission in the first place. It seems surprising that we vote on everything but SM-IV/what used to be IS-IV. Does anybody have an issue with me moving to add a group vote to the SM-IV requirements at the next BoD meeting? This doesn't strike me as a lights-and-sirens issue that requires rectification outside a normal meeting, but it does seem an obvious fix.

Thanks,

On Mon, Jun 2, 2014 at 4:21 PM, Christopher Ruch ruch.chris@gmail.com [asrc-bod] <asrc-bod@yahoogroups.com> wrote:

Looking back at the change history, under the old v6.0 training standards, no vote from the team membership was required for IS. So when we changed the title from IS to SM-IV, this lack of a team

membership vote remained the same. So this was neither intentional, nor a change. Same way its always been.

Group membership votes remain for SM-III through SM-I, they were for IC-III through IC-I in the old standards.

Chris

On Sun, Jun 1, 2014 at 12:22 PM, kconover@pitt.edu [asrc-bod] <asrc-bod@yahoogroups.com> wrote:

From the BOD meeting today:

Ken came up for SM-IV and it was noted that the new standards don't require a group vote requirement for this.

Was this change made on purpose?

Thanks for dredging your memory.

-- Keith Conover, M.D., FACEP

www.conovers.org

www.ed-informatics.org

sent with Pegasus feature-rich, high-security email
download free from www.pmail.com